Neural Relational Inference for interacting systems by Kipf, Thomas, et al., ICML 2018

NRI – Backgrounds

Various kinds of time series data are governed by an underlying network.



Lynn, Christopher W., and Danielle S. Bassett. "The physics of **brain network** structure, function and control." *Nature Reviews Physics*. 2019

Time series data

Network

NRI – Backgrounds

Various kinds of time series data are governed by an underlying network.



NRI – Backgrounds

- Besides examples in nature, human behaviors can also be governed by network interactions.
 - Motion of players in a basketball game
 - Traffic, social activities...

Time series data Network

Can we reversely inference the network interactions?

 Statistical methods exist, such as correlation and granger causality. Here we present and reimplement a neural network method, Neural Relational Inference.



- NRI consists an encoder and a decoder network.
- In the implementation, NRI takes the advantage of Graph Neural Network (GNN) and Variational Auto Encoder (VAE).
- We will introduce NRI step by step!

1. GNN refers to a set of neural networks that takes **graph structured data as inputs**.

2. GNN are neural models that **captures the dependence of graphs** via message passing between the nodes of graphs (Zhou et al., 2020).







How GNN captures the dependence of graphs?



- In neural network model, embedding/representation might be the key for successful learning.
- In GNN, we design **node embedding** and **edge embedding** for training.



Fig. 2. The general design pipeline for a GNN model.

Zhou et al., 2020

• In neural network model, embedding/representation might be the most important key for successful learning.

1

• In GNN, we design node embedding and edge embedding for training.



$$\mathbf{h}_{j}^{1} = f_{\text{emb}}(\mathbf{x}_{j})$$
$$v \rightarrow e: \quad \mathbf{h}_{(i,j)}^{1} = f_{e}^{1}([\mathbf{h}_{i}^{1}, \mathbf{h}_{j}^{1}])$$
$$e \rightarrow v: \quad \mathbf{h}_{j}^{2} = f_{v}^{1}(\sum_{i \neq j} \mathbf{h}_{(i,j)}^{1})$$

The GNN Layer can be MLP, RNN or even CNN.

2. Specify graph type and scale.

Fig. 2. The general design pipeline for a GNN model.

Zhou et al., 2020



 h_3^l, h_4^l are node embeddings. $h_{(4,3)}^l$ is edge embedding. f_e^l is a neural network that takes edge embeddings as inputs. f_v^l is a neural network that takes node embeddings as inputs.

- NRI consists of an encoder and a decoder. In the last stage, the edge embeddings are used to perform **edge classification**.
- Although we use encoder as the example, ideas of node/edge embedding are also in the design of decoder.



z represents edge types. $q_{\phi}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})$ is the probability of edge types for edge z_{ij} .

- If our training dataset contains ground truth edge types, then
 <u>Encoder alone</u> can inference the edge type for testing dataset.
 This is true for simulation data.
- However, in some cases (e.g. online edge inference), what we **ONLY** have is the time series.

That is, our training dataset does not contain ground truth edge types.





- To overcome the barrier, NRI takes the advantage of VAE.
- VAE is suitable for **data without labelling** (unsupervised learning).



The encoder compresses input x to the latent space.

The decoder produces x' (from latent space) as similar as x.

- The decoder has a similar structure as the encoder.
 - MLP layer
 - $V \rightarrow E$ and $E \rightarrow V$ operations



- The decoder takes x_t and edge type probabilities q_{ϕ} as input. Two types of inputs are combined.
- The decoder output Δx^t is the predicted increasement for the next time step.



• To sum up, in case we **ONLY** have time series, we have to infer the edge (interaction) types through VAE. If the latent variable $q_{\phi}(z|x)$ represents edge types correctly, the decoder should be able to produce matched increasement.



NRI – Implementations

• First, let's take a look at the encoder.

class MLPBlock(nn.Module):
 pass
class MLPEncoder(nn.Module):
 pass

src/nri_encoder.py

```
def parse_args():
    pass
def train(args):
    """Performs both training and validation
    """
    pass
def test(args, best_model_path):
    """Test the best performance model
    """
    pass
if __name__ == "__main__":
    pass
```

scripts/train_enc.py

NRI – Implementations

• let's take a look at the decoder.

class MLPDecoder(nn.Module):

pass

src/nri_decoder.py

```
def parse_args():
    pass
def reconstruction_error(pred, target):
    pass
def train(args):
    """Performs both training and validation
    ......
    pass
def test(args, best_model_path):
    """Test the best performance model
    ......
    pass
if _____name___ == "____main___":
    pass
           scripts/train dec.py
```

NRI – Implementations

- Use `scripts/generate_dataset.py` to generate simulation data. This file is provided by the paper author.
- You can use `run_encoder.py` and `run_decoder` to play with the trained model. Use `traj_plot.ipynb` to generate prediction trajectory.
- Let's go to Github for details.

NRI – Results, Encoder

• In the experiments, we use simulated 2D springs-coupled data in training and testing.



NRI – Results, Encoder

 We train the encoder with 40 epochs, batch_size=5, each trial with 49 times steps. Use `nn.CrossEntropyLoss` as the loss function. The hidden layer dimension of MLP layer is 256.



Test loss: 0.20062 Test acc: **0.91605**

NRI – Results, Encoder

 We train the encoder with 40 epochs, batch_size=5, each trial with 49 times steps. The hidden layer dimension of MLP layer is 256.

array([[2.58110523e-01, 2.72232652e-01], [-1.16690552e+00, 2.87807488e+00], [2.96102691e+00, -3.51592392e-01], [-1.77556610e+00, 5.04293703e-02], [5.95626593e-01, 2.90788710e-01], [-2.01289678e+00, 2.76325107e+00], [1.98042321e+00, -1.14150584e-01], [-3.09398627e+00, 1.89460647e+00], [-3.32151628e+00, 6.86369181e-01], [-3.44780421e+00, 1.92884123e+00], [6.40936494e-01, -1.27347276e-01], [1.71941495e+00, -1.61469197e+00], [8.53864312e-01, -7.06104159e-01], [1.14158404e+00, -8.64673257e-02],

array([0., 1., 0., 1., 0., 1., 0., 1., 1., 1., 0., 1., 0., 0., 0., 0., 1., 1., 1., 1., 1., 1., 0., 0., 0., 1., 0., 0., 0., 0., 0., 1., 0., 0., 0., 1., 1., 0., 0., 0., 1., 1., 0., 0., 0., 1., 0., 1., 1., 0., 0., 0., 0., 0., 1., 0., 0., 1., 0., 0., 1., 0., 0., 1., 1., 1., 1., 1., 0., 0., 1., 1., 0., 0., 1., 1., 0., 1., 0., 0., 0., 1., 1., 1., 0., 1., 0., 0., 1., 1., 0., 0., 1., 1., 0., 0., 0., 0., 1., 1., 0.])

Any idea to better present the result?

NRI – Results, Decoder-1

Use decoder alone, provided with edge types, 1 Step ahead prediction, then teacher force



Test baseline error: 0.030993

NRI – Results, Decoder-2



10 Steps prediction, then teacher force



```
NRI – Paper Results
```



Figure 11. Visualization of NBA trajectories. Left: ground truth; middle: model prediction; right: sampled edges.

NRI – Issues

- Appreciate any helps for the followings issues.
- 1. Test and add support for GPU platform.
- 2. MLP building block has been implemented for the encoder and decoder. Consider other building blocks (e.g. CNN, RNN).
- 3. For data without ground truth edge information, we need to combine the encoder and the decoder together (**optional**).
- 4. Visualization for encoder output result.
- Any minor adjustments are also welcomed! ③

Reference

Kipf, Thomas, et al. "Neural relational inference for interacting systems." *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 2018.

Zhou, Jie, et al. "Graph neural networks: A review of methods and applications." *AI Open* 1 (2020): 57-81.